This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- . SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	, FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/894,520	06/27/2001	James P. Kardach	42390P11689	5997
;	7590 705/30/2003			
Michael J. Mallie BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, KIMBERLY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
.	ores A a G	09/894,520	KARDACH, JAMES P.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kimberly D. Nguyen	2876			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9 April 2003 .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	l-a			
Application	on Papers					
9) 🔲 🗆	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
<u> </u>	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
	Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in .	Application No			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•				
_a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional application has l	been received.			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	\$§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of Response filed on 9 April 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 10-16 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magnus et al. (WO 02/082359; hereinafter "Magnus") as modified by Zdybel, Jr. et al. (US 5,486,686; hereinafter "Zdybel, Jr.").

Re claims 1-2, 4-6, 10-14, 16 and 20-25: Magnus teaches a method comprising: printing a hardcopy representation/coupon 9 of an electronic application on a paper having printed pattern thereon (page 3, line 29 through page 4, line 10);

recording one or more edits made with a pen 1 on the hard copy representation 14 by recording movements of the pen 1 with respect to the printed pattern (fig. 1; page 7, lines 16-34; page 9, line 16 through page 10, line 21);

automatically sending the one or more edits made on the hard copy representation 14, via wireless transmission, to a computer system (figs. 2-3; page 7, line 15 through page 8, line 17; page 9, lines 16-35).

Although, Magnus teaches a unique printed pattern paper can be used for identifying a particular publisher (page 4, lines 4-6); Magnus fails or fairly suggests that the hard copy

Application/Control Number: 09/894,520

Art Unit: 2876

representation having identification information to associate the hard copy representation with the electronic application.

Zdybel, Jr. teaches a method of a hard copy representation having identification information, such as file name, to associate the hard copy representation with the electronic application (col. 10, lines 1-27).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the notoriously old and well known identification information associating the hard copy and the electronic application as taught by Zdybel, Jr. to the teachings of Magnus in order to keep track of the hard copy with the electronic application.

Re claims 3, 15: Magnus teaches a method, wherein recording the information, such as winners, match results, number etc, comprises making a mark with the pen in the area/box with specific information (page 5, lines 1-3; page 8, lines 12-17).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manipulate the information such as the identification information, as necessary, to fit his/her business criteria to further inform the computer system of file(s) being edited or changed. Furthermore, such modifications would provide an inventory tracking of files/application being changed, edited or updated.

4. Claims 7-9 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magnus as modified by Zdybel, Jr. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Patton et al. (US 5,757,468; hereinafter "Patton"). The teachings of Magnus as modified by Zdybel, Jr. have been discussed above.

Art Unit: 2876

Magnus as modified by Zdybel, Jr. is silent with respect to the identification information comprises an ID printed with an icon on the hard copy representation.

Patton teaches a print paper/hard copy wherein the identification information comprises a unique identification number "000001" and a bar code 320, which serves as an ID, with an icon 12 on the print paper (fig. 11; col. 7, lines 55-67).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manipulate the informative data with an icon as taught by Patton to the teachings of Magnus as modified by Zdybel, Jr. in order to provide a visual acknowledgment of the information (i.e. ID) printed with an icon to the operator to further offer an aesthetic appeal to the hard copy.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 9 April 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. In response to Applicant's argument with regard to "the writing and reading unit disclosed in Magnus does not automatically send edits to a computer system." (page 2, lines 11-12), the Examiner respectfully requests the Applicant to further review Magnus, by giving its broadest reasonable interpretation, wherein Magnus teaches "the camera to register the movement over a position-coding pattern on the writing surface across which the pen is moved" (page 7, lines 23-25) then "the camera image data is stored in a memory 6" (page 7, lines 27-28) then after "a desired amount of information has been stored in the memory, this can be transmitted at an optional time and be sent on wirelessly via a transmitter..." (see Magnus page

Application/Control Number: 09/894,520

Art Unit: 2876

7, lines 15-34), which the information is automatically sent after a desired amount of information stored in the memory.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-1798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-8792.

KDN May 23, 2003

KARL D. FRECH

Page 5